

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,567	08/27/2003	Frank D. Garber	0103-0036 (ZM0521)	5973
43231	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
ZIMMER TECHNOLOGY - REEVES			REIMERS, ANNETTE R	
P. O. BOX 1268 ALEDO, TX 76008			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3733	

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/649,567	GARBER ET AL.				
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Annette R. Reimers	3733				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Nor</u>	n-Final				
(1) Annette R. Reimers.	(3) Eduardo Robert.					
(2) <u>Cary Reeves, reg.#35,334</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 13 January 2006	Time: Morning					
	nt's representative)	•				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: .						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Examiner's Response to Arguments.						
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 7, 12-17 and 20-22.						
Prior art documents discussed: Noiles (US Patent Number 3,848,272) and English (US Patent Number 4,004,300).						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
EDUARDO C. BOBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	R					
Examiner(SDE Stanature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/649,567

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Reeves, applicant's representative, on Friday, January 13, 2006, to discuss the claims in the application. Examiner pointed out to Mr. Reeves that the means for language in claim 1 was not interpreted under 112 6th paragraph, because the means for language included structure in addition to function. Examiner suggested amending the claims and possibly the specification to more particularly claim the spherical thread profile. Mr. Reeves presented arguments regarding the claims and the Noiles and English prior art references. Examiner suggested that Mr. Reeves present the arguments in wriiten format and that examiner and her supervisor would review the arguments and any amendments to the claims.